Case 1:07-cv-10921-AKH Document	1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
KENNETH VOGT	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellers 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	tein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE C	OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Constant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addit Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, be	with an ' \square '' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),
Plaintiffs, KENNETH VOGT, by his/her/their NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectively.	•
I. PAR	<u>TIES</u>
A. PLAIN	TIFF(S)
1. ☑ Plaintiff, KENNETH VOGT (herei a citizen of New York residing at 251-44 71st Avenue, (OR	
2. Alternatively, □ is the	he of Decedent
and brings this claim in his (her) ca	anacity as of the Estate of

Ca	ise 1.07-cv-10921-AKH Documen	1 Filed 11/06/2007 Page 2 01 11	
	residing at	(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the	
Injured Plaint	SPOUSE at all relevant times in, and bring injuries sustained by her husba	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff Other:	
4.		004 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York Police	
	(NYPD) as a Police Officer at:	70 Tule lighted Hammir Worked for New Tork Folice	
	Please be as specific as possible when fi	illing in the following dates and locations	
Location(s) (d Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for	
	bout <u>9/12/2001</u> until <u>1/1/2004;</u> ly <u>8</u> hours per day; for	Approximately days total.	
	ly <u>1000</u> days total.	□ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at	
☐ The New From on or al Approximate Approximate	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
	Kills Landfill	From on or about until;	
Approximate	bout until; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:	
*Continue t		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.	
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated	
	Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;		
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at	
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.		

6.

 ✓ Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. If §405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. ✓ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was d 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. ✓ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was so by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the inapplicable. ✓ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was g 	
 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was so by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the inapplicable. 	,
by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, th inapplicable.	
Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was or	of the Air Transportation
405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his a further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.	stem Stabilization Act, 49 his right(s) to pursue any

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
9, ,	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☑ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 6/20/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. ☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☑ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	
adjusted this claim.	✓ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.✓ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DIVERSITED CARTING, INC. ☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERFRISE, INC. ☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE CEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUITET
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE SCATT OLDING CO, INC. ☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

□ OTHER:

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-10921-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil		Jurisdi ut the	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
remov	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	U.S.C	2. § 1441.
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-10921-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury:			Cardiovascular Injury:
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: <u>To be supplied.</u>		\checkmark	Fear of Cancer
	Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date			Date of onset: To be supplied at a later
	Date physician first connected this injury to			<u>date</u>
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			Date physician first connected this injury
				to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
				date
	Digestive Injury:			Other Injury:
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	usti	ive list	of injuries that may be alleged.
				ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	W	ill in th	ne future suffer the following compensable
dama	ges:			
====	D: 1 CC :	==	=====	
V	Pain and suffering			
	I £ 41 £ 1:£-			
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
\checkmark	I ass of saminos and/animosimment of			
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of			
	earning capacity			
\checkmark	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of			
V				
	retirement benefits			
\checkmark	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and			
	rehabilitation			
\checkmark	Othorn			
<u>v</u>	Other:			
	✓ Mental anguish			
	✓ Disability✓ Medical monitoring			
	<u>V</u> Medical monitoring			

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York November 7, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Kenneth Vogt

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

November 7, 2007

Docker	t No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	KENNETH VOGT,
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
===	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	☐ NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	□ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP

1205 15762